

## COURSE UNIT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Course unit (module) title	Code
Comparative Economics	

Lecturer(s)	Department(s) where the course unit (module) is delivered
Coordinator: Prof. dr.(HP) Linas Čekanavičius	Faculty of Economics and Business Administration
Other(s):	

Study cycle	Course type
First (Bachelor's)	Optional

Form of implementation Period of implementation		Language of instruction
Face-to-face	Autumn semester	English

Requirements for students						
Prerequisites: Economic Principles I+II	Additional requirements (if any):					

Number of ECTS credits	Student's workload	Contact hours	Individual work
5	130	36	94

## Purpose of the course and competences developed

Course aims to acquaint the students with both the descriptive and analytical knowledge about the historical, organizational and institutional settings of different economic systems and their impact upon system's performance, and to develop the ability to critically assess the validity of responses to different economic developments in the past and of today

economic developments in the past and of today								
Learning outcomes (corresponding	Teaching methods	Assessment methods						
learning outcomes of the programme)								
Knowledge of the genesis and	Lectures, problem teaching,	In-class, closed-book test						
understanding of the logic of development	case analysis, home reading	and comparative case						
of various economic systems (1.1; 3.1)	assignments.	analysis						
Ability to evaluate compatibility of the	Lectures, problem teaching,	In-class, closed-book test						
institutional structures of economic system	case analysis, home reading	and comparative case						
and their impact upon its performance (1.1;	assignments, individual	analysis						
2.1; 3.1)	research and writing of							
	essay on a given topic.							
Ability to contrast and compare economic	Lectures, problem teaching,	In-class, closed-book test						
systems with regard to their institutional	case analysis, home reading	and comparative case						
settings, workings and outcomes (1.1; 2.1;	assignments, individual	analysis Essay on a given						
3.1; 3.3; 4.2)	research and writing of	individual topic, class						

	essay on a given topic.	presentation of findings.
Ability to critically assess economic	Case analysis, home	In-class, closed-book test
policies in the context of a given	reading assignments,	and comparative case
economic setting. (3.1; 3.2; 4.2)	individual research and	analysis. Essay on a given
conomic setting. (3:1, 3:2, 1:2)	writing of essay on a given	individual topic, class
	topic.	presentation of findings.

		Cont	tact /	/ Indi	ividu	al v	vork	: time	e and assignments
Course themes		Tutorials	Seminars	Exercises	Laboratory work	Internship/work	Contact hours	LS	Assignments
1. What the Comparative Economics is about: Object, Goals and Methods of Study	1 Tectures		91		I	I	1	4	Reading of literature: [1, p.3-33], [2, p.23-43]
2. American Capitalism: The Advanced "Individualistic" Market Economy	3		2				5	8	Individual study of lecture materials, Reading of literature: [1, p.115-142], [2, p.239-274], materials posted on Moodle
3. Japanese Economy: "Economy of Traditions"	4		2				6	8	Reading of literature: [1, p.145-176], [2, p.340-344], materials posted on Moodle
4. Swedish "Scandinavian Socialism"	4		2				6	8	Reading of literature: [1, p.203-228], [2, p.290-292], materials posted on Moodle
5. British Economy: Glory, Fall and Resurrection	3		2				5	8	Reading of literature: [2, p.229-236], materials posted on Moodle
6. Germany: The Social Market Economy	3		2				5	8	Reading of literature: [1, p.230-260], materials posted on Moodle
7. The command-and-control (soviet type) economic system: why did it fail?	2		1				3	8	Reading of literature: [1, p.53-83], [2, p.158-180], materials posted on Moodle
8. China's phenomenon: "socialist market economy"	2		1				3	8	Reading of literature: [1, p.417-448]; [2, p.435-463], materials posted on Moodle
9. Spending or Austerity? Best cure for economic crisis debate	2						2	8	Individual study materials posted on Moodle
10. The Myth of Output Collapse after Communism								6	Reading of literature [3]

8. Essay					10	
9. Preparation for exam					10	
Total	24	12		36	94	

Assessment strategy	Weig ht,%	Deadline	Assessment criteria
Mid-term (written).	30	October	Understanding of the historical, organizational and institutional settings of different economic systems and their impact upon system's performance, ability to contrast and compare economic development patterns, as well as ability to express one's thoughts clearly and succinctly in writing.
Essay and presentation	20	September - December	Ability to discuss and critically assess economic policies in the context of a given economic setting.
Final exam (written)	50	January	Understanding of the historical, organizational and institutional settings of different economic systems and their impact upon system's performance, ability to contrast and compare economic development patterns, as well as ability to express one's thoughts clearly and succinctly in writing.  Grading scale: 91-100%, or excellent, 10; 81-90%, or very good, 9; 71-80%, or good, 8; 61-70%, or fair, 7; 51-60%, or satisfactory, 6; 41-50%, or poor, 5. Less than 41% or unsatisfactory, when the minimal requirements are not met: 4, 3, 2, 1.

Author	Publish	e Title		Issue No.	Publishing		
	d in				or		house
				Volume	or Internet site		
Required reading							
Lecture slides and accord	mpanying	materials (obligatory readin	gs) t	that will be	made available to all		
students on the Moodle							
Supplementary readin	g						
1. Rosser J.B., Rosser	2018	Comparative Economics	parative Economics 3 <sup>rd</sup> ed.		MIT Press [2018]		
M.V.	(or	in a Transforming World			Prentice-Hall		
	2006,	Economy			(2006)		
	2004)				Times Mirror		
					Higher Education		
					Group (2004)		
2. Gregory, P.R.,	2013	The Global Economy and			South Western		
Stuart, R.C.		its Economic Systems			College		
3. Åslund, A.	2004	The Myth of Output .			http://www.carnegi		
		Collapse after			eendowment.org/fil		
		Communism, Post-Soviet			es/18aslund.pdf		

	Economies Project,	
	Carnegie Endowment,	
	Number 18, March 2001	